$(bpy)_2(OH)Ru^{IV}ORu^{V}O(bpy)_2^{4+}/(bpy)_2(OH_2)Ru^{III}ORu^{IV}$ - $(OH)(bpy)_2^{4+}$ couple.

The pH dependences of the dimer couples dictate that $\Delta E^{\circ'}$ for the Cl⁻/Cl₂ interconversion should be zero at pH $\simeq 3.5$. As expected from the thermodynamic potentials, significant catalytic $(2Cl^{-} \rightarrow Cl_2)$ currents are observed for the dimer/Cl⁻ system only below pH 3. Above pH 4 Cl₂ (or HOCl) oxidizes the Ru(III,III) or Ru(III,IV) dimers, and in basic solution OCl⁻ can be used to drive the dimer-catalyzed oxidation of water to oxygen. The results of the pH-dependence studies lead to two significant conclusions: (1) Even at pH 2 where $\Delta E^{\circ\prime} \simeq 0.07$ V, significant catalytic currents are observed, showing that a remarkably low overpotential exists for the catalytic oxidation of chloride. (2) The oxidation of $(bpy)_2(OH_2)Ru^{III}ORu^{IV}(OH)(bpy)_2^{4+}$ by solutions containing chlorine at pH > 4 show that the catalytic system can operate in either direction as might have been expected on the basis of microscopic reversibility.

Oxidation of solutions containing $(bpy)_2 Ru^{IV}O(OH_2)^{2+}$ at a potential sufficient to give $(bpy)_2 Ru^{VI}(O)_2^{2+}$ also results in the electrocatalytic oxidation of chloride to chlorine. The details of the catalyzed reaction are similar to those described for the dimer, but the following points should be noted: (1) Loss of catalytic activity occurs over extended electrolysis times because of chloride anation to give $(bpy)_2Ru(OH_2)Cl^+$ and its higher oxidation state components. (2) The active oxidation catalyst appears to be Ru(VI) via the $(bpy)_2Ru^{VI}(O)_2^{2+}/(bpy)_2Ru^{IV}O(OH_2)^{2+}$ couple. (3) In the absence of chloride, $(bpy)_2Ru^{VI}(O)_2^{2+}$ decomposes slowly to give O_2 (by GC) and an, as yet unidentified, complex of Ru(III).

Catalytic, chemically modified electrodes based on the monomer and dimeric couples have been prepared on carbon paste electrodes by the following procedures: (1) The electrodes were coated with *p*-chlorosulfonated polystyrene $(-CH_2(p-C_6H_4SO_2Cl-)_n,$ which was hydrolyzed and deprotonated to form a polyanionic film as described elsewhere.⁷ (2) The dimeric or monomeric catalysts were ion-exchanged into the film-coated electrodes to achieve apparent surface coverages $(\Gamma_{app})^8$ of up to $10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2$ for the dimer and 10^{-9} mol/cm^2 for the monomer. A monomer-incorporated carbon paste electrode $(\Gamma_{app} \simeq 7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2)^{11}$ held at a constant potential of 1.20 V in a 0.1 M HClO₄ solution containing 5.0 M NaCl gave an initial catalytic current density of 8 mA/cm² that slowly decreased to 0.5 mA/cm² after 20 min. One useful feature associated with the catalytic properties of the electrodes is that the loss mechanism associated with chlorine anation can be reversed simply by cycling the potential of the coated electrode from +0.2 to +1.20 V in a 0.1 M HClO₄ solution free of Cl⁻. After several minutes, reaquation of the bound chloro groups occurs, which regenerates the diaquo catalytic sites, and the electrodes regain their full catalytic activity for the generation of Cl_2 .

We are currently investigating the mechanistic details of the Cl⁻ oxidation reactions. Hopefully such details will give insight into both the heterogeneous oxidation of Cl⁻ to Cl₂ at RuO₂-impregnated electrodes and into the design of stable, chemically modified electrodes for the interconversion of Cl₂ and Cl⁻.

Acknowledgments are made to the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-8002433 and to the Army Research Office, Durham, NC, under Grant DAAG29-82-K-0111 for support of this research.

Registry No. cis-(bpy)₂Ru^{II}(OH₂)₂²⁺, 72174-09-5; (bpy)₂Ru^{III}-(OH₂)ORu^{III}(OH₂)(bpy)₂⁴, 56110-87-3; (bpy)₂(OH₂)Ru^{III}ORu^{IV}-(OH)(bpy)₂⁴⁺, 86045-59-2; (bpy)₂Ru^{IV}O(OH₂)²⁺, 84988-22-7; (bpy)₂Ru^{VI}(O)₂²⁺, 84988-24-9; CI⁻, 16887-00-6; Cl₂, 7782-50-5; C, 7440-44-0.

On the Nature of the Bicyclo[3.2.1]octa-3,6-dien-2-yl Anion. A ¹³C NMR Spectroscopic Study[†]

Manfred Christl,* Hartmut Leininger, and Dieter Brückner

Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland D-8700 Würzburg, Federal Republic of Germany Received January 19, 1983

The title anion 1 is the most widely cited example of homoaromaticity in anionic systems. π -Delocalization was suggested to account for the rapid base-catalyzed H/D exchange at C-4 in bicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene $(2)^1$ in comparison with bicyclo-[3.2.1]oct-2-ene, and further experimental support was provided by the ¹H NMR spectrum of $\hat{1}^{2,3}$

Two recent theoretical investigations^{4,5} did not find a homoaromatic stabilization for 1 and related anions; a third one,⁶ however, came to the opposite conclusion. Here we wish to report results that on an experimental basis give a clear insight into the nature of 1.



Table I shows the ¹³C NMR parameters of 1,⁷ its 2-deuterio derivative 1- d_{2}^{9} its 2,4-dideuterio derivative 1- d_{2}^{9} and, for comparison, those of 2 and its 2,4,4-trideuterio derivative $2-d_3$.¹⁰ Based on the known chemical shifts of simple allyl anions, 11,12 the assignments in the spectrum of 1 are straightforward.

The most striking feature in the spectrum of 1 is the upfield shift of the C-6,7 resonance in comparison with 2 by 39.0 and 48.5 ppm, respectively. Two interpretations of this effect may be advanced: (i) Anion 1 is in fact the bishomoaromatic species 1d. Then, the shielding of C-6,7 could be the consequence of the charge transfer from the allyl anion portion. (ii) Anion 1 consists of a rapidly equilibrating mixture of the allyl anion derivative 1a and its tricyclic and tetracyclic isomers 1b and 1c, respectively (Scheme I). In this case, which was once considered but rejected,² C-6,7 would adopt in part the character of a cyclopropyl carbon and that of a carbanionic center, which would account for the shielding observed.

[†] Dedicated to Professor John D. Roberts on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

(1) Brown, J. M.; Occolowitz, J. L. Chem. Commun. 1965, 376-377; J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, 411-418. (2) Brown, J. M. Chem. Commun. 1967, 638-640.

(3) Winstein, S.; Ogliaruso, M.; Sakai, M.; Nicholson, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3656-3657.

(4) Kaufmann, E.; Mayr, H.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1375-1380.

(5) Grutzner, J. B.; Jorgensen, W. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1372-1375.

(6) Brown, J. M.; Elliott, R. J.; Richards, W. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1982, 485-488.

(7) Prepared from exo-4-methoxybicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene with Na/K alloy as described.2,3

(8) The precursor [4-D]exo-4-methoxybicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene was obtained from bicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene-4-one with LiAlD₄, subsequent methylation of the mixture of alcohols, and preparative GC separation of the isomeric methyl ethers according to the procedure for the unlabeled compound:

Kirmse, W.; Olbricht, T. Chem. Ber. 1975, 108, 2616-2628.
(9) From 2-d₃¹⁰ and N-bromosuccinimide the tricyclic bromide was prepared as described for the 2: Japenga, J.; Klumpp, G. W.; Stapersma, J. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 2847-2849. Solvolysis of the tricyclic bromide in methanol containing AgBF4 afforded a mixture of methylethers, from which [2,4-D₂]exo-4-methoxybicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,4-diene, the precursor of 1-d₂, was

 (10) Prepared from 2 with Me₂SO-d₆ and KOC(CH₃)₃ as described.¹
 (11) O'Brin, D. H.; Hart, A. J.; Russell, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4410-4412

(12) O'Brien, D. H.; Russell, C. R.; Hart, A. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 37 - 40

⁽⁷⁾ Ellis, C. D.; Meyer, T. J., submitted for publication in Inorg. Chem. (8) Apparent surface coverages (Γ_{appli} moles per square centimeter) were calculated by using the equation $\Gamma_{appl} = Q_c/n\mathcal{F}A$. Q_c is the total charge obtained by planimetric integration of the reductive component of the Ru-(III)/Ru(II) or Ru(III,IV)/Ru(III,III) cyclic voltammetric waves, n is the number of electrons per molecule reduced, \mathcal{F} is Faraday's constant, and A is the electrode area in square centimeters.

Table I. ¹³C Chemical Shifts (ppm from Me₄Si), One-Bond ¹³C-H Coupling Constants (Hz), and Some Deuterium Isotope Effects on the ¹³C Chemical Shifts^a of 1^b and 2

compd, solvt, temp, K	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6	C-7	C-8
$1,^{c}$ DME- $d_{10}, 310$	40.48 (d.135)	58.76 (d.157)	120.55 (d 140)	58.76 (d 157)	40.48 (d.135)	91.37	91.37 (d.155)	32.17
$1,^{c}$ THF- $d_{8}, 310^{d}$	40.45	58.59	120.31	58.59	40.45	91.10	91.10	31.99
1- <i>d</i> , <i>c</i> , <i>e</i> DME- <i>d</i> ₁₀ , 310	40.49 40.38	58.77 58.43	120.50	58.77 58.53	40.49 40.52	91.49 90.87	91.49 90.87	32.11 32.08
213 2 ^f CDCL, 310	40.22 38.78 ^g	57.54 134 17	119.63 123.99	57.64 28.78	40.36 38.43 ^g	88.84 130.36 ^h	88.84 139.82 ^h	31.69 40.81
2, 02013,010	(d 145)	(d 161)	(d 160)	(t 127)	(d 141)	(d 168)	(d 169)	(t 132)
Deuterium Isotope Effects (ppm) Relative to 1 and 2, Respectively ^{i,j}								
1-d, ^k THF-d _s , 310	-0.14	-0.29	-0.13	-0.20	0.00	-0.07	-0.07	0.00
$1-d_{2}$, <i>k</i> THF- d_{8} , 310	-0.14	-0.49	-0.25	-0.49	-0.14	-0.14	-0.14	0.00
$2 \cdot d_3^{-l}$, CDCl ₃ , 310	-0.12	-0.15	-0.23	-0.84	-0.17	+0.04	+0.10	0.00

^a Obtained from a Bruker WM 400 spectrometer operating at 100.61 MHz. ^b Gegenion K^{*}. ^c Internal reference THF, δ 26.50 and 68.38 relative to Me₄Si. ^d Samples with different concentrations: upper line, lower concentration; lower line, higher concentration. ^e These chemical shifts are not appropriate to calculate the deuterium isotope effects, since they result from a measurement in the absence of 1. f Internal references Me₄Si. ^g Assignment may be exchanged. ^h This assignment has been established by means of decoupling experiments. Error ≈ 0.01 ppm. ^j Negative sign denotes shielding. ^k Determined from the spectra of a 1:1:1 and a 1:2:4 mixture of 1, 1-d, and 1-d₂. ¹ Determined from the spectrum of a 1:4 mixture of 2 and 2- d_3 .

Scheme I



Deuterium isotope effects on ¹³C chemical shifts have been utilized with great success to distinguish between the different types of carbocations.¹³ A few applications in carbanion chemistry have also been published.¹⁴ The spectra of the deuterated species 1-d and $1-d_2$ decide unambiguously between the above alternatives. If **1a-c** were equilibrating, the population of these species should be changed in 1-d and 1-d₂ relative to 1, since a deuteron favors its position on a cyclopropyl carbon over that on an olefinic sp²-hybridized carbon.¹⁵ Given that C-2,4 in **1a** behave as olefinic sp²-hybridized carbons, the proportions of 1b and 1c should be increased in 1-d and $1-d_2$. Consequently, upfield shifts would be expected in the spectra of these compounds relative to that of 1 especially for the resonance of C-6,7.

As shown in Table I the deuterons in 1-d and $1-d_2$ cause small upfield shifts of nearly all signals with a maximum of 0.49 ppm at C-2,4, the carbons binding the deuterons in $1-d_2$. On comparison with literature data¹⁶ the order of magnitude characterizes

these upfield shifts as being intrinsic rather than equilibrium effects. Thus only a single species, i.e., 1d, is involved. This is emphasized by the finding that only one bridgehead carbon responds to the deuterium substituent in 1-d, probably the adjacent C-1 (-0.14 ppm), while the effect decreases to zero at the remote C-5, a situation typical for intrinsic isotope effects.¹⁷ For comparison the deuterium effects of $2-d_1$ have been included. Interestingly, those at C-6 and C-7 are deshielding¹⁸ in contrast to the values in 1-d and 1- d_2 , providing evidence that in 1 there are direct bonding interactions between the allyl anion termini and the ethylenic unit, namely, a C-2-C-7 and a C-4-C-6 bond. Small shift variations on different concentrations in the same solvent and on solvent change (1 in DME- d_{10} vs. THF- d_8) as well as the upfield shifts at low temperatures (up to 2.03 ppm at C-6,7 in 1-d, DME- d_{10} , 213 vs. 310 K) indicate an equilibrium between solvent-separated and contact ion pairs.¹⁹

A consideration of the ¹³C chemical shifts of cyclopentadiene and cyclopentadienyl anion reveals that the conversion $2 \rightarrow 1$ brings about much larger upfield shifts than predicted as the effect of the newly generated and cyclicly delocalized negative charge. The chemical shift of the five carbons of cyclopentadiene sum up to 571.6 ppm,²⁰ those of its anion to 515.6 ppm.^{11,20} Hence a total effect of -56.0 ppm results on deprotonation of cyclopentadiene. The abstraction of a proton of C-4 in 2 causes a total effect of about -136 ppm, however, as calculated from the sums of the chemical shifts of C-2, C-3, C-4, C-6, and C-7 in 2 and 1.

We ascribe these additional 80 ppm to the increased coordination number of C-2,4 and C-6,7 in structure 1d as compared with standard sp²-hybridized carbons. Because of the homoaromatic^{21,22} π -bonds C-2–C-7 and C-4–C-6 these carbons should adopt hybridizations intermediate between sp^2 and sp^3 .

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor P. v. R. Schleyer, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, for stimulating discussions, and to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support of this research.

Registry No. 1, 43201-85-0; 2, 4096-95-1; deuterium, 7782-39-0.

 (19) Edlund, U. Org. Magn. Reson. 1979, 12, 661–666.
 (20) Stothers, J. B. "Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy"; Academic Press: New York, 1972.

(21) Review on homoaromaticity: Paquette, L. A. Angew. Chem. 1978, 90, 114-125; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 106.

(22) A bishomoaromatic dianion has recently been described: Huber, W.; Müllen, K.; Busch, R.; Grimme, W.; Heinze, J. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 294-297; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 301.

⁽¹³⁾ Saunders, M.; Telkowski, L.; Kates, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8070-8071. Saunders, M.; Kates, M. R. *Ibid.* 1977, 99, 8071-8072. Saunders, M.; Kates, M. R.; Wiberg, K. B.; Pratt, W. *Ibid.* 1977, 99, 8072-8073. Saunders, M.; Kates, M. R. *Ibid.* 1980, 102, 6867-6868. Saunders, M.; Siehl, H.-U. *Ibid.* 1980, 102, 6868-6869. Servis, K. L.; Shue, F.-F. Ibid. 1980, 102, 7233-7240. Forsyth, D. A.; Lucas, P.; Burk, R. M. Ibid. 1982, 104, 240-245.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Allyl metal compounds: Schlosser, M.; Stähle, M. Angew. Chem. 1980, 92, 497–499; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 487; J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 220, 277–283. Brownstein, S.; Bywater, S.; Worsfold, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, 1-8. Neugebauer, W.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Ibid. **1980**, 198, C1–C3. η^1 - and η^5 - cyclopentadienyl metal compounds: Faller, J. W.; Murray, H. H.; Saunders, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 2306-2309.

 ⁽¹⁵⁾ Barborak, J. C.; Chari, S.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971,
 93, 5275–5277. Grimme, W.; Doering, W. v. E. Chem. Ber. 1973, 106, 1765-1780.

^{(16) (}a) Günther, H.; Seel, H.; Günther, M.-E. Org. Magn. Reson. 1978, 11, 97-102. (b) Hansen, P. E.; Led, J. J. Ibid. 1981, 15, 288-293 and references therein.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Anet, F. A. L.; Basus, V. J.; Hewett, A. P. W.; Saunders, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3945-3946. Anet, F. A. L.; Dekmezian, A. H. Ibid. 1979, 101, 5449-5451 and references therein.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Deshielding deuterium isotope effects have been observed: Aydin, R.; Günther, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1301-1303. Wesener, J. R.; Günther, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2845-2848. Ernst, L.; Eltamany, S.; Hopf, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 299-300 and references therein. See also ref 16b.